

# OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY TASK GROUP - COUNCIL'S LEISURE CONTRACT

WEDNESDAY, 22ND NOVEMBER 2017, 5.30 PM COUNCIL CHAMBER, TOWN HALL, CHORLEY

# **AGENDA**

#### **APOLOGIES**

1 MINUTES OF MEETING THURSDAY, 14 SEPTEMBER 2017 OF OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY TASK GROUP - LEISURE CONTRACT

(Pages 3 - 6)

#### 2 DECLARATIONS OF ANY INTERESTS

Members are reminded of their responsibility to declare any pecuniary interest in respect of matters contained in this agenda.

If you have a pecuniary interest you must withdraw from the meeting. Normally you should leave the room before the business starts to be discussed. You do, however, have the same right to speak as a member of the public and may remain in the room to enable you to exercise that right and then leave immediately. In either case you must not seek to improperly influence a decision on the matter.

# 3 PRESENTATION OF PULSE REGENERATION

Members of the Task Group will receive a presentation and report from Pulse Regeneration, the Council's appointed consultant for the Council's leisure contract (report to follow).

#### 4 AGREE RECOMMENDATIONS

Members of the Task Group will debate the proposed options for the Council's leisure contract and agree the recommendations that will be put forward for consideration by the Executive Cabinet.

# 5 ANY OTHER ITEM(S) THAT THE CHAIR DECIDES IS/ARE URGENT

GARY HALL
CHIEF EXECUTIVE

Electronic agendas sent to Members of the Overview and Scrutiny Task Group - Council's Leisure Contract Councillor Alistair Morwood (Chair), and Councillors Charlie Bromilow, Doreen Dickinson, June Molyneaux, Mick Muncaster and Debra Platt.

If you need this information in a different format, such as larger print or translation, please get in touch on 515151 or chorley.gov.uk



MINUTES OF OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY TASK GROUP - LEISURE

CONTRACT

MEETING DATE Thursday, 14 September 2017

MEMBERS PRESENT: Councillor Alistair Morwood (Chair), Councillor (Vice-

Chair) and Councillors Doreen Dickinson,

June Molyneaux, Mick Muncaster and Debra Platt

OFFICERS: Louise Elo (Head of Early Intervention and Support),

Angela Barrago (Health and Wellbeing Manager), James Thomson (Principal Management Accountant), Jane McDonnell (HR Services Manager), Simon John (Policy and Governance) and Cathryn Filbin (Democratic

and Member Services Officer)

17.SFB.15 Minutes of meeting Thursday, 3 August 2017 of Overview and Scrutiny Task Group - Leisure Contract

AGREED – That the minutes of the meeting of the Overview and Scrutiny Task Group – Council Leisure Contract held on 3 August be confirmed as a correct record.

# 17.SFB.16 Declarations of Any Interests

No declarations of interest were received on any of the items detailed on this agenda.

# 17.SFB.17 Leisure Centre Options - HR & LEGAL

The Director of Policy and Governance submitted a report which provided the Task Group with a brief overview of the HR and legal implications on the leisure provision option for Chorley. The Chair welcomed Jane McDonnell, HR Manager and Simon John, Solicitor to the meeting to present the report's findings.

Members of the Task Group were informed that there was 5 different models which would need to be considered, when deciding on the future of the council's leisure provision.

Options				HR				Legal		
1	Invite o	ther	leisure	Shou	ld th	ne	contract	The 7	TUPE Regu	lations
	providers	to te	nder for	transf	fer fror	n th	e current	2006	(as amend	ed) and
	the contra	act ur	nder the	provid	der to	a n	ew one it	the P	ublic Contra	acts
	current	0	perating	was	likely	tha	at TUPE	Regu	lations 201	5 would
	provision		and	would	d appl	у.	Staffing	apply	to this Opti	on.
	objectives			inforn	nation		however			
				may	not be	av	ailable at	The (	Council wou	ıld have
				the tir	ne of t	enc	lering.	to	take	into
								consi	deration	both

		The Council would need to establish that it was the only facilitator in the TUPE process to minimise potential liability.	employment and procurement cost risks in relation to this Option
2	Bring the provision back in-house and deliver under the current operating provision and objectives.	Likely that the current employees would transfer to the Council under TUPE and salary costs likely to increase due to Living Wage Foundation rates.  Possible duplication of management/support	The TUPE Regulations 2006 (as amended) would to this Option.  The Council would have to take into consideration employment cost risks.
3	Invite other providers to tender for the contract under a new operating provision with objectives in-line with early intervention principles and outcome based performance.	roles.  Same TUPE issues as option 1, and ensuring the Council was only the facilitator.  Additional issue of employees transferring to a different service where the number of employees and roles may be different.  Possible ETO justification for the change.	The TUPE Regulations 2006 (as amended) and the Public Contracts Regulations 2015 will apply to this Option.  The Council would have to take into consideration both employment and procurement cost risks in relation to this Option.
4	Bring the provision back in-house and design a model which targets the health needs of the residents of Chorley and was linked to our ambition for future operating models of partnership and collaborative approaches.	Same TUPE and duplication issues for the Council as option 2. Due to change in nature of the service transferring employees may not be suitably skilled or experienced for the new roles.	The TUPE Regulations 2006 (as amended) will apply to this Option.  The Council would have to take into consideration employment cost risks.
5	Remain with existing service provider	Longer term implications relating to increases in National Living Wage.	No implications

The HR Manager confirmed that a change to the current leisure provision could increase a risk in relation to staffing, as transferring to a new operating model would require skills matching.

It was reported that Pulse Regeneration was the appointed consultant to undertake a review. It was expected that their findings would be reported to the Council in approximately 6 weeks.

Members of the Task Group considered that the report was theoretical in nature and until the consultant reports their findings (including cost implications) it would not be possible to consider the options in any detail.

# Agenda Page 5 Agenda Item 1

AGREED – That the report be noted.

17.SFB.18 Date of Next Meeting

AGREED – That the next meeting would be held in November to allow sufficient time for the consultant to report their findings to the Council.

Since the meeting the date of the next Task Group meeting has been agreed to take place on Wednesday, 22 November at 5.30pm.

The Chair thanked both the HR Manager and Solicitor for presenting the report.

Chair Date

